Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 310248 ORIGIN ARA-04

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /005 R

65011

DRAFTED BY:ARALA/CEN:MMBOVA APPROVED BY:ARA/CEN:MMBOVA

-----240523Z 112559 /13

R 232039Z DEC 76

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO USMISSION USUN NEW YORK

CONFIDENTIAL STATE 310248

LIMDIS, FOR ROBERT ROSENSTOCK, US LEGAL DIVISION ONLY

FOLLOWING REPEAT SAN JOSE 5983 ACTION SECSTATE DTD 22 DEC 76.

QTE: C O N F I D E N T I A L SAN JOSE 5983

E.O. 11652: GDS TAGS: PFOR, CS

SUBJECT: VESCO EXTRADITION

REF: STATE 299452 AND 298612

1. EMBOFF HAS MET WITH RECHNITZER'S LAWYER AND WITH LAWYER FOR ONE GROUP OF LIQUIDATORS TO DISCUSS RECHNITZER CASE AND ITS POSSIBLE IMPACT ON EXTRADITION (ORTIZ CONTINUES TO BE OUT OF THE COUNTRY). WHILE EACH PRESENTS HIS OWN INTERPRETATION OF HOW THINGS COULD DEVELOP, SOME USEFUL INFORMATION

HAS BEEN GLEANED.

2. ACCORDING TO RECHNITZER'S LAWYER, RECHNITZER'S CHARGES AGAINST VESCO CENTER ON INTER-AMERICAN CAPITAL CASE BUT INVOLVE ALL REPEAT ALL NINE COUNTS OF JANUARY 1975 INDICT-CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 310248

MENT. THE CHARGE IS "ADMINISTRACION FRAUDULENTA Y FRAUDE" (FRADULENT ADMINISTRATION AND FRAUD).

3. THE DOCUMENTS SPECIFYING RECHNITZER'S CHARGES, ACCORDING TO RECHNITZER'S LAWYER, ARE CONSIDERED CONFIDENTIAL BY THE SECOND JUDGE OF INSTRUCTION. THUS THE JUDGE OF

INSTRUCTION, WHOSE FUNCTION (LIKE A GRAND JURY'S) IS TO DETERMINE WHETHER (A) A CRIME HAS BEEN COMMITTED AND (B) WHETHER SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE EXISTS TO BRING TO TRIAL THE PERSON (S) ACCUSED OF THIS CRIME, HAS DECIDED TO RETAIN THIS DOCUMENT - THE BASIS FOR THE INVESTIGA-TION HE IS CARRYING OUT - IN CAMARA (OF COURSE RECHNITZER AND HIS LAWYERS HAVE THE DOCUMENTS). ACCORDING TO RECHNITZER'S LAWYER, NOT EVEN THE ACCUSED (AT LEAST IN THEORY) ARE ALLOWED ACCESS TO IT. BOTH RECHNITZER'S LAWYER AND THE LIQUIDATORS' LAWYER BELIEVE THE JUDGE IS IN ERROR IN HIS RULING, AND SAY THAT THEY WILL ATTEMPT TO GET HIM TO ALLOW THESE DOCUMENTS TO BE MADE AVAILABLE TO INTERESTED PARTIES, (SUCH AS LIQUIDATORS) WHO HAVE A LEGITIMATE INTEREST IN RECHNITZER'S CHARGES. BOTH RECHNITZER'S LAWYER AND THE LAWYER FOR THE LIQUIDATORS HAVE PROMISED SEPARATELY TO PROVIDE EMBASSY WITH COPY WHEN JUDGE SO PERMITS.

4. RECHNITZER'S LAWYER HAS VOLUNTEERED THE FOLLOWING COMMENTARY ON THE RELATIONSHIP OF HIS CLIENT'S CASE WITH A U.S. EXTRADITION ATTEMPT: A KEY ELEMENT IN THE "DOUBLE JEOPARDY" ASPECT OF THIS CASE IS THE DEFINITION OF "THE SAME FACTS" AS MENTIONED IN ARTICLE 3B OF THE 1976 EXTRADITION LAW. THE LAWYER NOTES THAT IN THE ACCOMPANYING LEGISLATIVE DEBATE, ONE OF THE AUTHORS OF THE LAW STATED THAT "THE SAME FACTS" IS DEFINED AS "IDENTITY OF PARTS, OBJECT, AND CAUSE." IF ALL THREE ARE THE SAME, EXTRADITION WOULD NOT BE POSSIBLE. HOWEVER, IF ONE OF THE PARTS IS DIFFERENT (E.G. THE VICTIMS OF THE ALLEGED FRAUD), THEN THE FACTS ARE NOT CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 310248

THE SAME, AND EXTRADITION WOULD BE POSSIBLE. LAWYER ALSO NOTES THAT THERE IS NO GUARANTEE THAT A COURT WILL MAINTAIN THIS INTERPRETATION OF "THE SAME FACTS."

5. THE LIQUIDATORS' LAWYER OPINED ON THE RECHNITZER CASE IN TERMS OF WHERE THE ACT WAS COMMITTED, HOLDING THAT TRIBUNAL, IF IT GETS THE CASE, WILL THROW IT OUT BECAUSE ALLEGED FRAUD WAS NOT COMMITTED IN COSTA RICA. RECHNITZER'S LAWYER DOES NOT SHARE THIS VIEW; HE HOLDS THAT FRAUD WAS NOT ONLY COMMITTED IN COSTA RICA, BUT IS ONGOING.

6. EMBASSY WILL BRING THESE COMMENTS TO ORTIZ' ATTENTION AS SOON AS HE GETS BACK TO COSTA RICA AND TO HIS OFFICE. IN VIEW OF DELICATE NATURE OF STATUS OF RECHNITYKU'S ACCUSATION WITH SECOND JUDGE OF INSTRUCTION, WE PLAN NOT TO PRESS ANY MORE THAN WE HAVE IN THE MATTER OF OBTAINING A COPY OF RECHNITZER'S CHARGES

BEFORE THE COURT OF INSTRUCTION.

7. STATE OF CASE: RECHNITZER'S LAWYER SAYS THAT CASE HAS NOT MOVED FROM FIRST STAGE OF CALLING WITNESSES TO BE INTERROGATED (INDAGATORIA PRELIMINAR).

THIS STAGE COULD DRAG OUT FOR WEEKS; SOME TEN PERSONS HAVE TO BE CALLED. ALSO, JUDGE HAS REQUESTED DOCUMENTS FROM U.S. WHICH HAVE NOT YET ARRIVED. LAWYER SAYS THAT UNTIL JUDGE RECEIVES THESE DOCUMENTS, HE WILL HOLD UP SUMMONING WITNESSES.

TODMAN UNQTE: ROBINSON

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Concepts: EXTRADITION, LITIGATION

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 23 DEC 1976 Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: cobumhl
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976STATE310248

Document Number: 1976STATE310248
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: ARALA/CEN:MMBOVA

Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A Film Number: D760471-1161 From: STATE

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t19761216/aaaaangz.tel Line Count: 127 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ORIGIN ARA Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: ONLY
Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Handling Restrictions: ONLY Reference: 77 STATE 299452 Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: coburnhl

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 20 MAY 2004

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <20 MAY 2004 by BoyleJA>; APPROVED <13 SEP 2004 by coburnhl>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MÁY 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: VESCO EXTRADITION TAGS: PFOR, CS, (VESCO, ROBERT) To: USUN NEW YORK

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006